

Application No. 09/665442  
Amendment dated June 20, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of January 20, 2006

Docket No.: 014030.0129C1US

### REMARKS

The Office Action mailed January 20, 2006 has been reviewed and the comments of the Patent and Trademark Office have been considered. Claims 47-62 and 77-104 were pending in the application. Claims 47, 49, 51, 54, 56, 59, 61, 62, 77, 80, 82, 84, 87, 90, 91, 94, 96, 98, 101, and 104 have been amended. No claims have been canceled or added by this response. Therefore, claims 47-62 and 77-104 are pending in the application and submitted for reconsideration.

Applicant appreciates the courtesy extended by Examiner Koppikar during the personal interview with Applicant's representative on April 26, 2006. During that interview, certain amendments were discussed for putting the application in condition for allowance.

### § 102 REJECTIONS

Claims 47, 55-57, 77, 84, 91 and 98 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujimoto (U.S. Patent No. 5,339,821). Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

As requested by the Examiner during the personal interview, independent claims 47, 59, 77, 84, 91, and 98 have been amended to more clearly identify the first processing unit as the central processing unit. Additionally, as requested by the Examiner, independent claims 47, 59, 77, 84, 91, and 98 have been amended to clarify that the script programs include commands. Fujimoto, in contrast, only discloses sending data. (col. 5, ll. 64 to col. 6, ll. 9). Therefore, Fujimoto does not anticipate independent claims 47, 59, 77, 84, 91, and 98, or the claims that depend on them.

### § 103 REJECTIONS

Claims 48-54 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimoto, U.S. Patent No. 5,339,821.

As discussed above, independent claims 47, 59, 77, 84, 91, and 98 have been amended to include features not taught or suggested in Fujimoto. Therefore, Fujimoto does not render obvious independent claims 47, 59, 77, 84, 91, and 98, or the claims that depend on them.

### § CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.


Application No. 09/665442  
Amendment dated June 20, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of January 20, 2006

Docket No.: 014030.0129C1US

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-2228, under Order No. 014030.0129C1US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: June 20, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Michele V. Frank  
Registration No.: 37,028  
PATTON BOGGS LLP  
8484 Westpark Drive, 9th Floor  
McLean, Virginia 22102  
(703) 744-8000  
(703) 744-8001 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant

3662943v1